

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/775,891 02/02/2001		Eric A. Baldwin	04608.00002	8122		
22908	7590	11/05/2002				
BANNER &		•	" EXAMINER			
TEN SOUTH SUITE 3000	I WACKER	CDRIVE	FERKO, KATHRYN P			
CHICAGO, IL 60606						
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				3743		
				DATE MAILED: 11/05/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•		09/775,891	BALDWIN ET AL.				
C	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kathryn Ferko	3743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE MAIL - Extensions after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re - Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ply within the set or extended period for reply will, by ceived by the Office later than three months after the int term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
	sponsive to communication(s) filed on	02 February 2001 .					
<i>-</i>		This action is non-final.					
	ce this application is in condition for a						
clos Disposition o	sed in accordance with the practice un f Claims	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Clai	m(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are pending in the applic	cation.					
4a) (Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.					
5)∏ Clai	m(s) is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Clai	m(s) is/are rejected.						
7)∏ Clai	m(s) is/are objected to.						
•	m(s) <u>1-41</u> are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.					
Application P	•						
·	specification is objected to by the Exa		to to be bushes Francisco				
·	drawing(s) filed on <u>02 February 2001</u> i		•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
	r 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	ic Examiner.					
	nowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 H S C	& 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
•	I b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	oreign priority under 66 6.6.6.	3 113(d) (d) 31 (l).				
۵/L./ ۱./	,	ments have been received					
	Certified copies of the priority documents		Application No.				
	Copies of the certified copies of the						
	application from the Internation ne attached detailed Office action for	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
14)⊠ Ackno	owledgment is made of a claim for dor	mestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
	The translation of the foreign languag owledgment is made of a claim for do	• •					
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice of D	References Cited (PTO-892) Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	(8) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/775,891 Page 2

Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to because they are unclear. In particular the reference numeral are poorly written. Additionally, it is recommended to better represent figures 7-12, perhaps with more figure numbers or better representation. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: elements 335 and 375. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the Brief Description Of The Drawings mentions Figure 5. There does not appear to be a corresponding Figure 5. Rather there are Figures 5A and 5B, which are not mentioned in the Brief Description Of The Drawings.

Appropriate correction is required.

4. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 09/775,891

Art Unit: 3743

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. **The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided.** The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The use of language such as "disclosed" should be avoided.

5. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Since there are many apparatuses and methods for repairing the femur a novel feature should be used in the title.

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-35 and 38-41, drawn to an apparatus for repairing a femur, classified in class 606, subclass 65.
 - Claims 36-37, drawn to a method for re-attaching a greater trochanter, classified in class 128, subclass 898.
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method can be used

with a different apparatus and vice versa. In the instant case, a claw, cable aperture, etc. are requirements for the apparatus but not of the method. Further, page 6 of the current application recites, "It is clear, however, that one skilled in the art would be able to utilize a variety of methods for securing the two portions together."

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

If applicant elects Group I, further election is required.

- IA. Claims 1-15, drawn to an apparatus for repairing a femur having a claw, classified in class 606, subclass 87.
- IB. Claims 16-31, drawn to an apparatus for repairing a femur, classified in class 606, subclass 65.
- 5. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because a claw at the superior end is not

Application/Control Number: 09/775,891

Art Unit: 3743

required in the subcombination. The subcombination has separate utility such as with a different attachment at the superior end.

Page 5

6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group IA is not required for Group IB, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Further, if applicant selects either Group I or Group II, an election of species must me made.

7. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I: A non-detachable connector

Species II: A detachable connector

Species III: A bowed or rotated connector

Species IV: A bendable connector

Sub-Subspecies a: A non-angled bone screw slot

Sub-Subspecies b: An angled bone screw slot

Sub-Sub-Subspecies 1: A non-angled cable aperture

Sub-Sub-Subspecies 2: An angled cable aperture.

Application/Control Number: 09/775,891

Art Unit: 3743

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 3743

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kathryn Ferko whose telephone number is (703) 306-3454. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-5:00) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry A Bennett can be reached on (703) 308-0101. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

KF

October 22, 2002

Henry Hernett

Supervisory Patent Examina